

MICHAEL L. BECKER  
Nevada Bar No. 8765  
LAS VEGAS DEFENSE GROUP, L.L.C.  
2970 W. Sahara Avenue  
Las Vegas, NV 89102  
Telephone - (702) 333-3673  
Attorney for Defendant

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	<b>CASE NO.: 2:24-mj-00953-DJA</b>
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>STIPULATION TO CONTINUE</b>
	)	<b>BENCH TRIAL</b>
vs.	)	
	)	<b>(First Request)</b>
	)	
<b>NOEL M. GARCIA, M.D.,</b>	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between SKYLER H. PEARSON, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and MICHAEL L. BECKER, counsel for defendant DR. NOEL M. GARCIA, that the Bench Trial currently scheduled for April 9, 2025, be vacated and set to a date and time no sooner than 60 days out.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties need additional time to engage in negotiations for potential resolution short of trial.
2. The Defendant is not detained pending trial and does not object to the continuance.
3. The parties agree to the continuance.

1       4.     The additional time requested herein is not sought for purpose of delay, but rather  
2             to allow the parties sufficient time to prepare for trial or alternatively negotiate a  
3             potential resolution.

4       5.     Counsel for defendant reached out the government last week and relayed that he  
5             anticipated starting felony trial in the matter of *State of Nevada v. Chad Williams*,  
6             Case No.: C-23-376008-1 in the Clark County District Court on April 7, 2025.

7       6.     Counsel for the government also advised he had a competing federal felony trial that  
8             was unresolved.

9       7.     Thereafter, counsel for defendant's case resolved, but counsel still needs additional  
10            time as his focus and energies were directed to the now resolved case.

11       8.     Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of  
12            justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing  
13            the time that the trial must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18,  
14            United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A), and considering the factors under Title  
15            18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).  
16

17       9.     This is the first request for continuance of the trial in this case.

18       10.    Dr. Garcia has been advised, concurs with this request, and waives time.  
19

20   DATED this 1<sup>st</sup> day of April 2025.  
21

22   Respectfully Submitted,  
23

24   /s/Michael L. Becker  
25   MICHAEL BECKER  
Attorney for Defendant

/s/Skyler Pearson  
SKYLER H. PEARSON  
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NOEL M. GARCIA, M.D.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:24-mj-00953-DJA

ORDER TO CONTINUE  
BENCH TRIAL

Based on the pending stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. The parties need additional time to prepare for trial or further engage in negotiations for a potential resolution.
2. Defendant is not detained pending trial and does not object to the continuance.
3. The parties agree to the continuance.
4. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay.
5. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i), denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

1 7. This is the first requested continuance.

2 For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a  
3 continuance of the trial date.

4 ORDER

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the bench trial in the above-captioned matter currently  
6 scheduled for April 9, 2025, at the hour of 9 A.M. be vacated and continued to **June 18, 2025, at**  
7 **9:00 a.m.**

8  
9 DATED this 2nd day of April 2025.

10  
11  
12  
13   
14 **DANIEL J. ALBREGTS**  
15 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25